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Port 25 management
• What is the problem being solved
• What is port 25 management
• Major benefits

A multistakeholder initiative
• Specific issues for implementation in Brazil
• Antispam.br Task Force work

Results
What is the Problem Port 25 Management Solves

- Our own studies (SpamPots Project) reinforced that:
  - more than 90% of spam leaving Brazil was originated from abroad
  - the problem was
    - end-user computers being abused in different ways
    - used to deliver spam directly to the recipients’ e-mail server

- Common Goal: to reduce the abuse of the Internet infrastructure in Brazil by spammers
  - Brazil was being appointed as a big “source” of spam
  - Brazilian networks were being affected negatively
Port 25 Management in a nutshell

- It is the enforcement of the differentiation between message submission and message transport
- stops direct delivery of spam by blocking outgoing connections to port 25
  - must be applied only at end user networks
- In Brazil the adoption of port 25 management needed to be articulated among different sectors
  - ISPs needed first to move mail submission to a different port (587/TCP – RFC 6409) and migrate all users
  - Then Telcos would be able to block outgoing port 25
Message Submission Before Port 25 Management

**End-user Networks**
- Cable, DSL, Dial-up, 3G

**Sender’s e-mail Provider**
- Provedor de E-mail A
- Provedor de E-mail B
- Provedor de E-mail C

**Receiver’s e-mail Provider**
- Provedor de E-mail X
- Provedor de E-mail Y
- Provedor de E-mail Z

**Transport Protocols**
- 25/TCP
- 80/TCP
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Message Submission After Port 25 Management
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How Port 25 Management Stops Direct Delivery
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Major Benefits

• Acts before the spam enters the network
  – less effort on spam filtering and operational costs

• Decreases the number of Brazilian IP ranges in blacklists

• Makes harder the abuse of the infected computers to send phishing and malware related spams

• Reduces the “value” of the infected machines in the underground market, as it can’t be used for direct delivery
A Multistakeholder Initiative
The Brazilian Internet Steering Committee – CGI.br

CGI.br is a multistakeholder organization created in 1995 by the Ministries of Communications and Science and Technology to coordinate all Internet related activities in Brazil.

Among the diverse responsibilities reinforced by the Presidential Decree 4.829, it has as the main attributions:

- to propose policies and procedures related to the regulation of Internet activities
- to recommend standards for technical and operational procedures
- to establish strategic directives related to the use and development of Internet in Brazil
- to promote studies and recommend technical standards for the network and services’ security in the country
- to coordinate the allocation of Internet addresses (IP) and the registration of domain names using <.br>
- to collect, organize and disseminate information on Internet services, including indicators and statistics

http://www.cgi.br/english/
CGI.br and NIC.br Structure

GOVERNMENT (Appointed)
1. Ministry of Science and Technology (Coordination)
2. Ministry of Communications
3. Presidential Cabinet
4. Ministry of Defense
5. Ministry of Development, Industry and Foreign Trade
6. Ministry of Planning, Budget and Management
7. National Telecommunications Agency
8. National Council of Scientific and Technological Development
10. Internet Expert

CIVIL SOCIETY (Elected)
11. Internet Service Providers
12. Telecommunication Infrastructure Providers
13. Hardware and Software Industries
14. General Business Sector Users
15. Non-governmental Entity
16. Non-governmental Entity
17. Non-governmental Entity
18. Non-governmental Entity
19. Academia
20. Academia
21. Academia

Executive Branch →

Administrative Support
Legal Counsel
Public Relations

Domain Registration
IP Assignment

Security and Incident Response

Studies and Surveys About ICT use

Internet Engineering and New Projects

W3C Brazilian Office

registo.br

cert.br

cetic.br

ceptro.br

IGF 2013 – Bali, Indonesia, October 24, 2013
Port 25 Management Working Group Members

Who was involved

• Coordinated by CGI.br – with technical coordination by CERT.br/NIC.br

• Initial players: Telcos, ISPs and Associations of these sectors, Anatel (Telecom regulator), the CGI.br representatives for these sectors

• Players identified in further meetings: Federal Prosecutor’s Office, Consumer Defense organizations and Ministry of Justice
Regular Meetings to Negotiate Port 25 Mgmt Adoption

- Agree on a coordinated effort for adoption:
  - 1\textsuperscript{st}: ISPs offering Message Submission services and changing at least 90% of their clients’ configuration
  - 2\textsuperscript{nd}: Telcos blocking outbound port 25 traffic – residential/3G networks only

- A formal implementation agreement was signed
  - CGI.br, NIC.br, Anatel, Telcos and ISP Associations
  - The consumer protection associations supported formally the agreement

- Once the agreement was signed, NIC.br started a national awareness campaign about
  - the importance of these measures
  - the impact on the consumers
  - part of the Antispam.br Campaign
Configure a porta de envio de suas mensagens para 587!

Com a Gerência da Porta 25, o Brasil vai reduzir o volume de spams enviados em nosso país.

Você ajuda o Brasil a melhorar a Internet e ainda evita dores de cabeça.

Conheça neste site mais detalhes do Gerenciamento da Porta 25.

Afinal, quem tem que ficar de fora são os spams, e não você!
Graphic Explanations about the Change

**COMO É HOJE**

**PARA QUEM USA LEITORES DE E-MAIL**
(Outlook, Thunderbird, etc.)

1. **SPAMMER**
   - de: spammer@endereço.rai são
   - para: vitima1@terra
   - vitima2@gmail
   - vitima3@yahoo
   - vitima4@uol

2. **INTERNET**
   - [conta/senha]

3. **REDE DA OPERADORA**
   - de: usuario@gmail
   - par a: fulano@terra

4. **SHORTCUT**

**COMO VAI FICAR**

**PARA QUEM USA LEITORES DE E-MAIL**
(Outlook, Thunderbird, etc.)

1. **SPAMMER**

2. **INTERNET**
   - [conta/senha]

3. **REDE DA OPERADORA**

4. **SHORTCUT**

---

1. Historicamente, tanto a troca de mensagens entre servidores de e-mail quanto a submissão de e-mails de clientes para o seu provedor sempre foram feitas pela porta 25. Essa característica é abusada por spammers, que usam computadores de todo o mundo se fazendo passar por servidores de e-mail.

2. O Brasil tem sido classificado como um dos países com o maior número de máquinas sendo abusadas ou infectadas por códigos maliciosos que estão sendo utilizados para o envio de spam.

3. Com a troca da configuração do programa cliente de e-mails para a porta 587, adotada em vários países nos últimos anos, as redes que fornecem acesso residencial podem impedir conexões com destino à porta 25, cessando o abuso sem afetar o consumidor.

4. A troca de mensagens entre servidores continua ocorrendo na porta 25.
Results
Reduction of Spam Complaints sent to CERT.br

Ano (2003 a junho de 2013)

- 2003: 4072334
- 2004: 4156382
- 2005: 2414200
- 2006: 1989902
- 2007: 1889658
- 2008: 2446154
- 2009: 2012987
- 2010: 2327388
- 2011: 711333
- 2012: 626312
- 2013: 592420

(Bar chart showing the reduction from 4072334 to 592420 spam complaints from 2003 to 2013.)
From CBL 1st in 2009 to 25th in 2013

The deadline for the implementation was March 2013

Source of data: Spamhaus CBL (Composite Blocking List) Statistics
http://cbl.abuseat.org/statistics.html
Evolution of the Main Brazilian ASNs in CBL Top 200

2012-10-16 -- 2013-10-04

Endereços IP

Source: CBL | by Highcharts.com
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Questions?

- CGI.br – Brazilian Internet Steering Committee
  http://www.cgi.br/

- NIC.br – Brazilian Network Information Center
  http://www.nic.br/

- CERT.br – Computer Emergency Response Team Brazil
  http://www.cert.br/